Twin Rinks SEQR Resolution

A regular meeting of the Nassau County Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency") was convened in public session at the offices of the Agency at 1550 Franklin Avenue, Mineola, Nassau County, New York, on April 10, 2014 at 5:00 p.m. local time.

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, upon roll being called, the following members of the Agency were:

PRESENT:

Timothy Williams

John Coumatos

Gary Weiss

Michael Rodin

Christopher Fusco

Chairman

Vice Chairman

Secretary

Asst. Secretary

ABSENT:

John T. Ahern

THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL PERSONS WERE PRESENT:

Joseph J. Kearney

Joseph Foarile

Colleen Pereira

Nicholas Terzulli

Edward Ambrosino, Esq.

Paul O'Brien, Esq.

Executive Director

Chief Financial Officer

Administrative Director

Director of Business Development

General Counsel

Bond/Transaction Counsel

The attached resolution no. 2014-20 was offered by M. Rodin, seconded by T. Williams:

Resolution No. 2014-20

RESOLUTION FINDING THAT THE PROPOSED PROJECT OF TWIN RINKS AT EISENHOWER, LLC IS NOT SUBJECT TO FURTHER REVIEW UNDER THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency") is authorized and empowered by the provisions of Chapter 1030 of the 1969 Laws of New York, constituting Title I of Article 18-A of the General Municipal Law, Chapter 24 of the Consolidated Laws of New York, as amended (the "Enabling Act"), and Chapter 674 of the 1975 Laws of New York, as amended, constituting Section 922 of said General Municipal Law (said Chapter and the Enabling Act being hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Act") to promote, develop, encourage and assist in the acquiring, constructing, reconstructing, improving, maintaining, equipping and furnishing of manufacturing, industrial and commercial facilities, among others, for the purpose of promoting, attracting and developing economically sound commerce and industry to advance the job opportunities, health, general prosperity and economic welfare of the people of the State of New York, to improve their prosperity and standard of living, and to prevent unemployment and economic deterioration; and

WHEREAS, to accomplish its stated purposes, the Agency is authorized and empowered under the Act to acquire, construct, reconstruct and install one or more "projects" (as defined in the Act) or to cause said projects to be acquired, constructed, reconstructed and installed and to convey said projects or to lease said projects with the obligation to purchase; and

WHEREAS, TWIN RINKS AT EISENHOWER, LLC, a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York (the "Applicant"), presented an application (the "Application") to the Agency, which Application requested that the Agency consider undertaking a project (the "Project") consisting of the following: (A)(1) construction of an approximately 75,350 square foot building (the "Building") on an approximately 11.27 acre parcel of land in Eisenhower Park adjacent to the Aquatic Center, in East Meadow, Town of Hempstead, County of Nassau, New York (the "Land"), together with related improvements to the Land, including, without limitation, an outdoor ice rink and parking areas, and (2) the acquisition of certain furniture, fixtures, machinery and equipment (the "Equipment") necessary for the completion thereof, all of the foregoing for use by the Applicant as a world-class sport and public recreation facility (collectively, the "Project Facility"); (B) the granting of certain "financial assistance" (within the meaning of Section 854(14) of the Act) with respect to the foregoing in the form of potential exemptions or partial exemptions from sales and use taxes (collectively, the "Financial Assistance"); and (C) the lease (with an obligation to purchase), license or sale of the Project Facility to the Applicant or such other entity as may be designated by the Applicant and agreed upon by the Agency; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Article 8 of the New York Environmental Conservation Law, Chapter 43-B of the Consolidated Laws of New York, as amended (the "SEQR Act") and the regulations adopted pursuant thereto by the Department of Environmental Conservation of

the State of New York, being 6 NYCRR Part 617, et. seq., as amended (the "Regulations" and collectively with the SEQR Act, "SEQRA"), the Agency must consider whether the Project is an "action" that would require it to satisfy the requirements contained in SEQRA prior to making a final determination whether to undertake the Project; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to SEQRA, to aid the Agency in determining whether the Project is an "action" subject to SEQRA, the Applicant submitted to the Agency: (1) Applicant's Application for Financial Assistance to the Agency dated August 15, 2013; (2) a Full Environmental Assessment Form dated September 13, 2012; (3) Notice of Determination of Non-Significance by the Nassau County Legislature, dated September 27, 2012; (4) Traffic Impact Study, dated September, 2012; (5) Traffic Study Addendum dated June, 2013; and (6) Parts 2 and 3 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form (collectively, the "Project Environmental Documents"); and

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Legislature (the "Legislature"), as lead agency, determined that the Project is a Type I action subject to SEQRA, undertook a coordinated review of the Project, and issued a "negative declaration" on September 27, 2012 (the "Negative Declaration") concluding that the Project will not have a significant effect on the environment and that a Draft Environmental Impact Statement will not be prepared; and

WHEREAS, the Agency, as an involved agency, upon its independent review of the Project Environmental Documents, now desires to adopt and be bound by the Negative Declaration issued by the Legislature;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MEMBERS OF THE NASSAU COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Based upon an independent review and examination of the Project Environmental Documents and upon the Agency's knowledge of the area surrounding the Land and such further investigation of the Project and its environmental effects as the Agency has deemed appropriate, the Agency makes the following findings with respect to the Project:

- (A) The Project is a Type I action;
- (B) The Legislature, acting as lead agency, conducted a coordinated review of the Project and based upon its thorough review of extensive documentation, issued the Negative Declaration, finding that the Project will not result in significant adverse impacts to the environment and that a Draft Environmental Impact Statement is not required;
- (C) The Legislature very carefully considered the full scope of the Project, identified all relevant areas of environmental concern,

took a "hard look" at such areas of concern and made a reasoned elaboration of the basis of its determination;

- (D) It was appropriate that the Legislature issue a negative declaration pursuant to SEQRA for the Project;
- (E) The Agency hereby adopts and agrees to be bound by the Negative Declaration, thus the Project is not subject to further review pursuant to SEQRA.

Section 2. The Chairman, Executive Director and Administrative Director of the Agency are hereby authorized and directed to distribute copies of this Resolution to the Applicant and to do such further things or perform such acts as may be necessary or convenient to implement the provisions of this Resolution.

Section 3. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call, which resulted as follows:

Timothy Williams	VOTING	Aye
John Coumatos	VOTING	Aye
Gary Weiss	VOTING	Aye
Christopher Fusco	VOTING	Aye
John T. Ahern	EXCUSED	
Michael Rodin	VOTING	Aye

The foregoing Resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) SS.
COUNTY OF NASSAU)

I, the undersigned [Asst.] Secretary of the Nassau County Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency"), do hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing extract of the minutes of the meeting of the members of the Agency, including the Resolution contained therein, held on April 10, 2014, with the original thereof on file in my office, and that the same is a true and correct copy of said original and of such Resolution set forth therein and of the whole of said original so far as the same relates to the subject matters therein referred to.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that (A) all members of the Agency had due notice of said meeting; (B) said meeting was in all respects duly held; (C) pursuant to Article 7 of the Public Officers Law (the "Open Meetings Law"), said meeting was open to the general public, and due notice of the time and place of said meeting was duly given in accordance with such Open Meetings Law; and (D) there was a quorum of the members of the Agency present throughout said meeting.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that, as of the date hereof, the attached Resolution is in full force and effect and has not been amended, repealed or rescinded.

[Asst.] Secretary

(SEAL)

PART 2 - PROJECT IMPACTS AND THEIR MAGNITUDE

Responsibility of Lead Agency

- In completing the form the reviewer should be guided by the guestion: Have my responses and determinations been ļ reasonable? The reviewer is not expected to be an expert environmental analyst.
- ļ The Examples provided are to assist the reviewer by showing types of impacts and wherever possible the threshold of magnitude that would trigger a response in column 2. The examples are generally applicable throughout the State and for most situations. But, for any specific project or site other examples and/or lower thresholds may be appropriate for a Potential Large Impact response, thus requiring evaluation in Part 3.
- The impacts of each project, on each site, in each locality, will vary. Therefore, the examples are illustrative and have been offered as guidance. They do not constitute an exhaustive list of impacts and thresholds to answer each question.
- The number of examples per question does not indicate the importance of each question. 1
- In identifying impacts, consider long term, short term and cumulative effects. ļ

Instructions (Read carefully)

site?

- Answer each of the 20 questions in PART 2. Answer Yes if there will be any impact. a.
- b. Maybe answers should be considered as Yes answers.
- If answering Yes to a question then check the appropriate box(column 1 or 2)to indicate the potential size of the impact. If c. impact threshold equals or exceeds any example provided, check column 2. If impact will occur but threshold is lower than example, check column 1.
- d. Identifying that an Impact will be potentially large (column 2) does not mean that it is also necessarily significant. Any large impact must be evaluated in PART 3 to determine significance. Identifying an impact in column 2 simply asks that it be looked at further.
- If reviewer has doubt about size of the impact then consider the impact as potentially large and proceed to PART 3. e.
- If a potentially large impact checked in column 2 can be mitigated by change(s) in the project to a small to moderate f. impact, also check the Yes box in column 3. A No response indicates that such a reduction is not possible. This must be explained in Part 3.

1

Small to

2

Potential

3

Can Impact Be

Moderate Large Mitigated by Project Change Impact Impact Impact on Land 1. Will the Proposed Action result in a physical change to the project NO YES 🔳 Examples that would apply to column 2 Any construction on slopes of 15% or greater, (15 foot Yes rise per 100 foot of length), or where the general slopes in the project area exceed 10%. Construction on land where the depth to the water table Yes is less than 3 feet. Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more Yes vehicles. Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface. Construction that will continue for more than 1 year or involve more than one phase or stage. Excavation for mining purposes that would remove more than 1,000 tons of natural material (i.e., rock or soil) per year.

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
		Construction or expansion of a santary landfill.	<u></u>		Yes No
		Construction in a designated floodway.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No
2.		there be an effect to any unique or unusual land forms found on site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, geological formations, etc.) NO YES		·	
	•	Specific land forms:			Yes No
	*				
		Impact on Water			
3.					
	Eva	NO YES		•	
	•	Imples that would apply to column 2 Developable area of site contains a protected water body.			Yes No
	•	Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a-protected-stream.			Yes No
	•	Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body.			Yes No
	•	Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No
4.	Will wat	Proposed Action affect any non-protected existing or new body of er? NO YES			
	Exa	imples that would apply to column 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	. •	A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease.			Yes No
	•	Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No

		1	2	3	
		Small to Moderate Impact	Potential Large Impact	Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change	
5.	Will Proposed Action affect surface or groundwater quality or quantity? NO YES				
	Examples that would apply to column 2Proposed Action will require a discharge permit.	-		Yes No	
	 Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action. 			Yes No	
	 Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity. 			Yes No	
	 Construction or operation causing any contamination of a water supply system. 			Yes No	
	Proposed Action will adversely affect groundwater.	•		Yes No	
	 Liquid effluent will be conveyed off the site to facilities which presently do not exist or have inadequate capacity. 			Yes No	
	 Proposed Action would use water in excess of 20,000 gallons per day. 			Yes No	
	 Proposed Action will likely cause siltation or other discharge into an existing body of water to the extent that there will be an obvious visual contrast to natural conditions. 	***************************************		Yes No	
	 Proposed Action will require the storage of petroleum or chemical products greater than 1,100 gallons. 			Yes No	
	Proposed Action will allow residential uses in areas without water and/or sewer services.			Yes No	
	 Proposed Action locates commercial and/or industrial uses which may require new or expansion of existing waste treatment and/or storage facilities. 			Yes No	
	Other impacts:			Yes No	

		Small to Moderate Impact	Potential Large Impact	Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change	
S.	Will Proposed Action alter drainage flow or patterns, or surface water				
	runoff?				
	Examples that would apply to column 2	 			
	Proposed Action would change flood water flows			Yes No	
	Proposed Action may cause substantial erosion.			Yes No	
	Proposed Action is incompatible with existing drainage patterns.			Yes No	
	 Proposed Action will allow development in a designated floodway. 			Yes No	
.,1	Other impacts:			Yes No	_
	The project includes drainage improvements in and around the proportion parking lot will utilize porous pavement and other stormwater BMP's		hermore, the pro	pposed 100 stall	
	IMPACT ON AIR				
7.	Will Proposed Action affect air quality? NO YES			e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
	 Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will induce 1,000 or more vehicle trips in any given hour. 			Yes No	
	 Proposed Action will result in the incineration of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour. 			Yes No	
	Emission rate of total contaminants will exceed 5 lbs. per hour or a heat source producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.			Yes No	
	 Proposed Action will allow an increase in the amount of land committed to industrial use. 		and the state of t	Yes No	
	 Proposed Action will allow an increase in the density of industrial development within existing industrial areas. 			Yes No	
*** *** ***	Other impacts:			Yes No	
e en	IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS				1
3.	Will Proposed Action affect any threatened or endangered species? NO YES		-		
	Reduction of one or more species listed on the New York or Federal list, using the site, over or near the site, or found on the site.			Yes No	

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
	•	Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habitat.			Yes No
	•	Application of pesticide or herbicide more than twice a year, other than for agricultural purposes.		<u></u>	Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No
					-
9.		Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or non- langered species? NO YES			
	Exa •	amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish, shellfish or wildlife species.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No
10.	Will	IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES Proposed Action affect agricultural land resources? NO YES			
	Exa •	Imples that would apply to column 2 The Proposed Action would sever, cross or limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.)			Yes No
	•	Construction activity would excavate or compact the soil profile of agricultural land.			Yes No
	•	The Proposed Action would irreversibly convert more than 10 acres of agricultural land or, if located in an Agricultural District, more than 2.5 acres of agricultural land.			Yes No

			Small to Moderate Impact	Potential Large Impact	Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
	•	The Proposed Action would disrupt or prevent installation of agricultural land management systems (e.g., subsurface drain lines, outlet ditches, strip cropping); or create a need for such measures (e.g. cause a farm field to drain poorly due to increased runoff).			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No
		IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES			
11.		Proposed Action affect aesthetic resources? (If necessary, use Visual EAF Addendum in Section 617.20, Appendix B.) NO YES			
	Exa •	Imples that would apply to column 2 Proposed land uses, or project components obviously different from or in sharp contrast to current surrounding land use patterns, whether man-made or natural.			Yes No
	•	Proposed land uses, or project components visible to users of aesthetic resources which will eliminate or significantly reduce their enjoyment of the aesthetic qualities of that resource.			Yes No
	•	Project components that will result in the elimination or significant screening of scenic views known to be important to the area.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No
		The planned indoor ice skating facility will be built with architectura with other park structures including the adjacent Aquatics Center.	ıl details and treatn	nents to harmor	iize its appearance
	IN	MPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES			
12.		Proposed Action impact any site or structure of historic, historic or paleontological importance? NO YES			
	Exa •	Imples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action occurring wholly or partially within or substantially contiguous to any facility or site listed on the State or National Register of historic places.			Yes No
	•	Any impact to an archaeological site or fossil bed located within the project site.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action will occur in an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NYS Site Inventory.			Yes No

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
•	Other impacts:			Yes No
	IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION			
	fill proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future pen spaces or recreational opportunities? NO YES			
E.	xamples that would apply to column 2 The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity.			Yes No
•	A major reduction of an open space important to the community.			Yes No
•	Other impacts:			Yes No
*	The project will add to the recreational amenities in Eisenhower Parl unofficial sledding hill will be relocated nearby.	c. There will be no	reduction in a	ctive parkland. The
	IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS			
ch pi Li	/ill Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique naracteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established ursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR 617.14(g)? NO TES St the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of			
	e CEA.		und mindridah dilabah lamat anda dan dan dan dan dan dan dan dan da	
E	xamples that would apply to column 2			
•	Proposed Action to locate within the CEA? Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource?			Yes No
•	Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quality of the resource?			Yes No
•	Proposed Action will impact the use, function or enjoyment of the resource?		-	Yes No
•	Other impacts:			Yes No
			,	

	Small to Moderate Impact	Potential Large Impact	Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION			*
15. Will there be an effect to existing transportation systems? NO YES			
 Examples that would apply to column 2 Alteration of present patterns of movement of people and/or goods. 			Yes No
Proposed Action will result in major traffic problems.			Yes No
Other impacts:			Yes No
During event times, the Operator will implement an "Parking Man visitors of parking areas (see Traffic Impact Analysis)	agement Plan" that w	vill utilize signa	ge and staff to inform
IMPACT ON ENERGY			
16. Will Proposed Action affect the community's sources of fuel or energy supply?			
■ NO YES			
 Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will cause a greater than 5% increase in the use of any form of energy in the municipality. 			Yes No
 Proposed Action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two family residences or to serve a major commercial or industrial use. 			Yes No
Other impacts:			Yes No
NOISE AND ODOR IMPACT			
17. Will there be objectionable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of the Proposed Action?			
■ NO ■ YES			
 Examples that would apply to column 2 Blasting within 1,500 feet of a hospital, school or other sensitive facility. 			Yes No
Odors will occur routinely (more than one hour per day).			Yes No
 Proposed Action will produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels for noise outside of structures. 			Yes No
 Proposed Action will remove natural barriers that would act as a noise screen. 			Yes No
Other impacts:			Yes No

		Moderate Impact	Large Impact	Mitigated by Project Change	
	IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH				
18. Wi	ill Proposed Action affect public health and safety? NO YES				
•	Proposed Action may cause a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (i.e. oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.) in the event of accident or upset conditions, or there may be a chronic low level discharge or emission.			Yes No	
•	Proposed Action may result in the burial of "hazardous wastes" in any form (i.e. toxic, poisonous, highly reactive, radioactive, irritating, infectious, etc.)			Yes No	
•	Storage facilities for one million or more gallons of liquefied natural gas or other flammable liquids.			Yes No	
•	Proposed Action may result in the excavation or other disturbance within 2,000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.			Yes No	
•	Other impacts:			Yes No	
	The proposed project will offer County residents an additional recreation hockey rink space in the area.	ational resource ar	d will respond to	the demand for ice	
	IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD				
19. W	ill Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? NO YES				
Ex	ramples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%.			Yes No	
•	The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project.			Yes No	
•	Proposed Action will conflict with officially adopted plans or goals.		, no., no.	Yes No	
•	Proposed Action will cause a change in the density of land use.			Yes No	
•	Proposed Action will replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures or areas of historic importance to the community.			Yes No	
•	Development will create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire, etc.)			Yes No	

		Small to Moderate Impact	Potential Large Impact	Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
•	Proposed Action will set an important precedent for future projects.	270700000		Yes No
•	Proposed Action will create or eliminate employment.			Yes No
•	Other impacts:			Yes No
	The proposed project will +/- 100 FTE construction jobs and +/- 20) FTE jobs after cor	mpletion.	
20. ls t	there, or is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential	•	•	
ad	verse environment impacts? NO YES			

If Any Action in Part 2 Is Identified as a Potential Large Impact or If you Cannot Determine the Magnitude of Impact, Proceed to Part 3

Part 3 - EVALUATION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF IMPACTS

Responsibility of Lead Agency

Part 3 must be prepared if one or more impact(s) is considered to be potentially large, even if the impact(s) may be mitigated.

<u>Instructions</u> (If you need more space, attach additional sheets)

Discuss the following for each impact identified in Column 2 of Part 2:

- 1. Briefly describe the impact.
- 2. Describe (if applicable) how the impact could be mitigated or reduced to a small to moderate impact by project change(s).
- 3. Based on the information available, decide if it is reasonable to conclude that this impact is important.

To answer the question of importance, consider:

- ! The probability of the impact occurring
- ! The duration of the impact
- ! Its irreversibility, including permanently lost resources of value
- ! Whether the impact can or will be controlled
- ! The regional consequence of the impact
- ! Its potential divergence from local needs and goals
- ! Whether known objections to the project relate to this impact.

See Next Page	•		
		•	
	•		

Part 3 – EVALUATION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF IMPACTS

Traffic Impacts:

The Traffic Impact Analysis (attached) studied proximate intersections for potential Level of Service (LOS) changes between existing conditions, future no-build and the build condition. The Analysis forecasted the number of peak hour trips for the weekday PM peak hour and the weekend peak hour for both normal operating conditions and special events/tournaments. The Analysis also included a parking demand analysis and ingress/egress sight-distance analysis. Under normal operating conditions, the proposed facility would generate 164 trips during the weekday PM peak hour and 92 trips during the Saturday peak hour. There will be some minor LOS changes, based on the trip projections that are believed to be conservative. All Build delays will be similar to the peak hour operation of other intersections on Merrick Avenue. No mitigation will be necessary at any of the study intersections.

The project includes the construction of a 100-stall parking lot, plus the use of nearby lots in Eisenhower Park for overflow. Based on projections, and on parking counts performed in the park in July 2012, the 100-space on-site lot would be sufficient for normal operations, and at least 182 overflow spaces in an adjacent lot (immediately to the south) will also be available.

Within one to two years after opening, the applicant plans to host periodic events. Weekday events would be held from 7:00-9:30 pm and would accommodate up to 2,000 spectators (based on the event type). Weekend events would accommodate up to 1,000 spectators (again, based on the event type). It was considered that event attendees would carpool at a rate of 3 per vehicle, and that 67% of spectators would arrive during event-related peak hours (6-7 pm for PM event entry, 9:30-10:30 pm for event exit, and Saturday during the midday peak hour). The applicant plans to utilize proximate lots within Eisenhower Park for overflow along with other offsite lots and shuttles for the anticipated higher-attendance events on weekday evenings. The applicant will prepare a Parking Management Plan for event dates to coordinate the utilization of overflow lots.