### **IntraLogic SEQRA Resolution**

A regular meeting of the Nassau County Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency") was convened in public session at the offices of the Agency located at 1550 Franklin Avenue, Mineola, County of Nassau, New York on August 14, 2013 at 5:00 p.m., local time.

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, upon roll being called, the following members of the Agency were:

#### PRESENT:

Timothy Williams

Chairman

John Coumatos

Vice Chairman

Christopher Fusco

Asst. Secretary

Robert English

ABSENT:

Gary Weiss

Secretary

John T. Ahern

#### THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL PERSONS WERE PRESENT:

Joseph J. Kearney

**Executive Director** 

Joseph Foarile

Chief Financial Officer

Colleen Pereira

Administrative Director

Nicholas Terzulli

Director of Business Development

Edward Ambrosino, Esq.

General Counsel

Paul O'Brien, Esq.

**Bond/Transaction Counsel** 

The attached resolution no. 2013-53 was offered by R. English, seconded by C. Fusco:

#### Resolution No. 2013-53

# RESOLUTION FINDING THAT ACTION TO UNDERTAKE A CERTAIN PROJECT FOR INTRALOGIC SOLUTIONS, INC. WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENT

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency") is authorized and empowered by the provisions of Chapter 1030 of the 1969 Laws of New York, constituting Title I of Article 18-A of the General Municipal Law, Chapter 24 of the Consolidated Laws of New York, as amended (the "Enabling Act"), and Chapter 674 of the 1975 Laws of New York, as amended, constituting Section 922 of said General Municipal Law (said Chapter and the Enabling Act being hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Act") to promote, develop, encourage and assist in the acquiring, constructing, reconstructing, improving, maintaining, equipping and furnishing of manufacturing, industrial and commercial facilities, among others, for the purpose of promoting, attracting and developing economically sound commerce and industry to advance the job opportunities, health, general prosperity and economic welfare of the people of the State of New York, to improve their prosperity and standard of living, and to prevent unemployment and economic deterioration; and

WHEREAS, to accomplish its stated purposes, the Agency is authorized and empowered under the Act to acquire, construct, reconstruct and install one or more "projects" (as defined in the Act) or to cause said projects to be acquired, constructed, reconstructed and installed and to convey said projects or to lease said projects with the obligation to purchase; and

WHEREAS, INTRALOGIC SOLUTIONS INC., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York (the "Applicant"), presented an application (the "Application") to the Agency, which Application requested that the Agency consider undertaking a project (the "Project") consisting of the following: (A)(1) the acquisition of certain parcels of land located at 511 Ocean Avenue, Massapequa, Town of Oyster Bay, County of Nassau, New York (Section: 52; Block: 210; Lots: 533, 536 and 545; Section: 52; Block: 213; Lot: 1905) (collectively, the "Land"), (2) the renovation and improvement of the existing building on the Land, together with parking and other related improvements to the Land (collectively, the "Building"), and (3) the acquisition and installation therein and thereon of certain furniture, fixtures, machinery and equipment (the "Equipment"), all of the foregoing for use by the Applicant as a state of the art security command center (collectively, the "Project Facility"); (B) the granting of certain "financial assistance" (within the meaning of Section 854(14) of the General Municipal Law) with respect to the foregoing, including potential exemptions or partial exemptions from real property taxes, mortgage recording taxes and sales and use taxes; and (C) the lease (with an obligation to purchase), license or sale of the Project Facility to the Applicant or such other entity as may be designated by the Applicant and agreed upon by the Agency; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Article 8 of the New York Environmental Conservation Law, Chapter 43-B of the Consolidated Laws of New York, as amended (the "SEQR Act") and the regulations adopted pursuant thereto by the Department of Environmental Conservation of the State of New York, being 6 NYCRR Part 617, et. seq., as amended (the "Regulations" and

collectively with the SEQR Act, "SEQRA"), the Agency must satisfy the requirements contained in SEQRA prior to making a final determination whether to undertake the Project; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to SEQRA, to aid the Agency in determining whether the Project may have a significant adverse impact upon the environment, the Applicant submitted to the Agency: (1) a Full Environmental Assessment Form dated July 2, 2013, and (2) Applicant's Application for Financial Assistance dated May 21, 2013 (collectively the "Project Environmental Documents"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to SEQRA, the Agency now desires to conduct a review of the Project to determine whether the Project may have a significant adverse impact on the environment and whether an Environmental Impact Statement must be prepared with respect to the Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MEMBERS OF THE NASSAU COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Based upon a thorough review and examination of the Project Environmental Documents and upon the Agency's knowledge of the area surrounding the Land and such further investigation of the Project and its environmental effects as the Agency has deemed appropriate, the Agency makes the following findings with respect to the Project:

- (A) The Agency is undertaking an uncoordinated review of the proposed action in accordance with the requirements of SEQRA;
- (B) Prior to making a recommendation about the potential environmental significance of the Project, the Agency has consulted several information sources, and has considered the list of activities which are Type I Actions outlined in Section 617.4 of the Regulations, the list of activities that are Type II Actions outlined in Section 617.5 of the Regulations, and the criteria for determining significance outlined in Section 617.7 of the Regulations; and
- (C) The Project is an Unlisted action pursuant to SEQRA.

Section 2. No potentially adverse significant impacts on the environment are noted in the EAF and none are known to the Agency.

Section 3. Based upon the foregoing investigations of the potential environmental impacts of the Project and considering both the magnitude and importance of each environmental impact indicated, the Agency makes the following determination with respect to the Project:

The Project will not have a significant adverse impact upon the environment. The reasons supporting this determination are as follows:

- 1. The Project consists of the acquisition and renovation of an existing 8,500 square foot vacant commercial building and the addition of an 8,500 square foot second floor to accommodate the growing need for a larger product warehouse and a state of the art security command center.
- 2. Land use conditions at the Project Facility will not change as a result of the Project. The Project is consistent with the commercial zoning classification of the Land.
- 3. The Land is in a developed commercial and residential area near the Massapequa train station with no scenic views known to be important to the community.
- 4. The Land does not contain, nor lie in the immediate vicinity of any surface waterbody.
- 5. The Project will discharge sanitary wastewater to a piped municipal sanitary sewer system and will not entail the types of activities or operations that are associated with a significant potential for groundwater contamination.
- 6. The Project will not be a significant source of air emissions or odors. The Project, as a commercial use located nearby commuter rail, will not result in a significant increase in traffic. The Project will generate a maximum of 15 trips per hour. The existing roadway system has the capacity to accommodate the projected additional traffic volumes and a minor increase in traffic would not be a significant impact to air quality.
- 7. The Land is already developed and does not possess significant ecological value. The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation's Environmental Resources Mapper shows the Land to be within an area of rare plants and rare animals; however the Land is completely developed such that it is unlikely to serve as habitat for rare plant and animal species.
  - 8. The Project does not involve any agricultural land.
- 9. The Project Facility does not contain historically significant resources. Because the Land is located in an urban, densely developed area, it lacks the characteristics which would suggest the potential presence of significant archaeological resources.
- 10. The Land is located in a dense residential and commercial area and does not comprise public open space and is not used for recreation.
- 11. The Project Facility is not located in or substantially contiguous to any Critical Environmental Area.
- 12. Existing utility lines serve the Project Facility and no significant improvements are necessary to accommodate the Project.
- 13. Operation of the Project Facility is not expected to appreciably increase ambient noise or odor levels.

- 14. The Project will not result in any impacts to public health, because the Project will be used as commercial and warehouse space and does not involve those activities that increase risk of public exposure to hazardous or toxic materials.
- 15. The Project will not result in population growth, and generally is in character with adjacent development. The Project will allow for an existing business to expand in Nassau County and renovate and reuse vacant commercial space.
- 16. The Project will not result in any significant impacts associated with solid waste generation. The Project will result in the generation of one (1) ton of solid waste per month, which will be sent to an existing solid waste disposal facility. Recyclable materials will be handled in keeping with local requirements.
- 17. There will be no significant adverse environmental impacts as a result of the Project.

Section 4. The Chairman, Executive Director and Administrative Director of the Agency are hereby authorized and directed to distribute copies of this Resolution to the Applicant and to do such further things or perform such acts as may be necessary or convenient to implement the provisions of this Resolution.

Section 5. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call, which resulted as follows:

Timothy Williams	VOTING	Aye
John Coumatos	VOTING	Aye
Gary Weiss	ABSENT	-
Christopher Fusco	VOTING	Aye
Jack Ahern	ABSENT	
Robert English	VOTING	Aye

The foregoing Resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

STATE OF NEW YORK	).
	) SS.:
COUNTY OF NASSAU	)
طيه	

tely Hiseo

I, the undersigned Secretary of the Nassau County Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency"), do hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing extract of the minutes of the meeting of the members of the Agency, including the Resolution contained therein, held on August 14, 2013, with the original thereof on file in my office, and that the same is a true and correct copy of said original and of such Resolution set forth therein and of the whole of said original so far as the same relates to the subject matters therein referred to.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that (A) all members of the Agency had due notice of said meeting; (B) said meeting was in all respects duly held; (C) pursuant to Article 7 of the Public Officers Law (the "Open Meetings Law"), said meeting was open to the general public, and due notice of the time and place of said meeting was duly given in accordance with such Open Meetings Law; and (D) there was a quorum of the members of the Agency present throughout said meeting.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that, as of the date hereof, the attached Resolution is in full force and effect and has not been amended, repealed or rescinded.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Agency this 14k day of August, 2013.

(SEAL)

### STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE

This notice is issued by the Nassau County Industrial Development Agency (hereinafter the "Agency"), acting as lead agency, in an uncoordinated environmental impact review, pursuant to and in accordance with Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law, Chapter 43-B of the Consolidated Laws of New York, as amended (the "SEQR Act") and the regulations adopted pursuant thereto by the Department of Environmental Conservation of the State of New York, being 6 NYCRR Part 617, as amended (the "Regulations" and collectively with the SEQR Act, "SEQRA").

On August 14, 2013, IntraLogic Solutions, Inc., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York (the "Applicant"), presented an application (the "Application") to the Agency, and the Agency determined that: (A)(1) the acquisition of certain parcels of land located at 511 Ocean Avenue, Massapequa, Town of Oyster Bay, County of Nassau, New York (Section: 52; Block: 210; Lots: 533, 536 and 545; Section: 52; Block: 213; Lot: 1905) (collectively, the "Land"), (2) the renovation and improvement of the existing building on the Land, together with parking and other related improvements to the Land (collectively, the "Building"), and (3) the acquisition and installation therein and thereon of certain furniture, fixtures, machinery and equipment (the "Equipment"), all of the foregoing for use by the Applicant as a state of the art security command center (collectively, the "Project Facility"); (B) the granting of certain "financial assistance" (within the meaning of Section 854(14) of the General Municipal Law) with respect to the foregoing, including potential exemptions or partial exemptions from real property taxes, mortgage recording taxes and sales and use taxes; and (C) the lease (with an obligation to purchase), license or sale of the Project Facility to the Applicant or such other entity as may be designated by the Applicant and agreed upon by the Agency (collectively, the "Project"), will not have a significant impact upon the environment.

Reasons supporting this determination are fully explained below.

Project Name:	Second Floor Addition to IntraLogic Solutions, Inc. Facility			
SEQR Status:	Type I Unlisted:X			
Project Description:	The Project consists of: (A)(1) the acquisition of the Land, (2) the renovation and improvement of the Building, and (3) the acquisition and installation therein and thereon of the Equipment; (B) the granting of certain "financial assistance"; and (C) lease (with an obligation to purchase) or sale of the Project Facility to the Applicant or such other entity as may be designated by the Applicant and agreed upon by the Agency.			

**Reasons Supporting This Determination:** 

**Project Site:** 

County, New York

511 Ocean Avenue, Massapequa, Town of Oyster Bay, Nassau

- 1. The Project consists of the acquisition and renovation of an existing 8,500 square foot vacant commercial building and the addition of an 8,500 square foot second floor to accommodate the growing need for a larger product warehouse and state of the art security command center.
- 2. Land use conditions at the Project Facility will not change as a result of the Project. The Project is consistent with the commercial zoning classification of the Land.
- 3. The Land is in a developed commercial and residential area near the Massapequa train station with no scenic views known to be important to the community.
- 4. The Land does not contain, nor lie in the immediate vicinity of any surface waterbody.
- 5. The Project will discharge sanitary wastewater to a piped municipal sanitary sewer system and will not entail the types of activities or operations that are associated with a significant potential for groundwater contamination.
- 6. The Project will not be a significant source of air emissions or odors. The Project, as a commercial use located nearby commuter rail, will not result in a significant increase in traffic. The Project will generate a maximum of 15 trips per hour. The existing roadway system has the capacity to accommodate the projected additional traffic volumes and a minor increase in traffic would not be a significant impact to air quality.
- 7. The Land is already developed and does not possess significant ecological value. The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation's Environmental Resources Mapper shows the Land to be within an area of rare plants and rare animals, however the Land is completely developed such that it is unlikely to serve as habitat for rare plant and animal species.
- 8. The Project does not involve any agricultural land.
- 9. The Project Facility does not contain historically significant resources. Because the Land is located in an urban, densely developed area, it lacks the characteristics which would suggest the potential presence of significant archaeological resources.
- 10. The Land is located in a dense residential and commercial area and does not comprise public open space and is not used for recreation.
- 11. The Project Facility is not located in or substantially contiguous to any

#### Critical Environmental Area.

- 12. Existing utility lines serve the Project Facility and no significant improvements are necessary to accommodate the Project.
- 13. Operation of the Project Facility is not expected to appreciably increase ambient noise or odor levels.
- 14. The Project will not result in any impacts to public health, because the Project will be used as commercial and warehouse space and does not involve those activities that increase risk of public exposure to hazardous or toxic materials.
- 15. The Project will not result in population growth, and generally is in character with adjacent development. The Project will allow for an existing business to expand in Nassau County and renovate and reuse vacant commercial space.
- 16. The Project will not result in any significant impacts associated with solid waste generation. The Project will result in the generation of one (1) ton of solid waste per month, which will be sent to an existing solid waste disposal facility. Recyclable materials will be handled in keeping with local requirements.
- 17. There will be no significant adverse environmental impacts as a result of the Project.

#### **Supporting Documentation:**

- 1) Full Environmental Assessment Form dated July 2, 2013.
- 2) Application for Financial Assistance dated May 21, 2013.

For Further Information Contact:

Joseph J. Kearney

**Executive Director** 

Nassau County Industrial Development Agency

Theodore Roosevelt County Executive &

Legislative Building

1550 Franklin Ave., Suite 235

Mineola, NY 11501

516-571-1945

- 4 -

#### 617.20 Appendix A State Environmental Quality Review **FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM**

Purpose: The full EAF is designed to help applicants and agencies determine, in an orderly manner, whether a project or action may be significant. The question of whether an action may be significant is not always easy to answer. Frequently, there are aspects of a project that are subjective or unmeasurable. It is also understood that those who determine significance may have little or no formal knowledge of the environment or may not be technically expert in environmental analysis. In addition, many who have knowledge in one particular area may not be aware of the broader concerns affecting the question of significance.

The full EAF is intended to provide a method whereby applicants and agencies can be assured that the determination process has been orderly, comprehensive in nature, yet flexible enough to allow introduction of information to fit a project or action.

Full EAF Components: The full EAF is comprised of three parts:

- Part 1: Provides objective data and information about a given project and its site. By identifying basic project data, it assists a reviewer in the analysis that takes place in Parts 2 and 3.
- Part 2: Focuses on identifying the range of possible impacts that may occur from a project or action. It provides guidance as to whether an impact is likely to be considered small to moderate or whether it is a potentially-large impact. The form also identifies whether an impact can be mitigated or reduced.
- Part 3: If any impact in Part 2 is identified as potentially-large, then Part 3 is used to evaluate whether or not the impact is actually important.

#### THIS AREA FOR LEAD AGENCY USE ONLY

Page 1 of 21

## PART 2 - PROJECT IMPACTS AND THEIR MAGNITUDE Responsibility of Lead Agency

Gene	eral Information (Read Carefully)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
l	In completing the form the reviewer should be guided by the ques		nses and deter	minations been
,	reasonable? The reviewer is not expected to be an expert enviror.  The Examples provided are to assist the reviewer by showing type		ocovor poecible	a the threshold of
1	magnitude that would trigger a response in column 2. The examp			
	most situations. But, for any specific project or site other example			
	Potential Large Impact response, thus requiring evaluation in Par	t 3,		Andreas Brown and State of the
1	The impacts of each project, on each site, in each locality, will vary	y. Therefore, the exa	mples are illusti	rative and have been
	offered as guidance. They do not constitute an exhaustive list of			ach question.
1	The number of examples per question does not indicate the impo		ion.	
1	In identifying impacts, consider long term, short term and cumulat	ive effects.		
Instr	uctions (Read carefully)		•	
a.	Answer each of the 20 questions in PART 2. Answer Yes if there	will be any impact.		
b.	Maybe answers should be considered as Yes answers.		The second se	and the second of the second o
C,	If answering Yes to a question then check the appropriate box(col	umn 1 or 2)to indicate	the potential s	ize of the impact, if
	impact threshold equals or exceeds any example provided, check	column 2. Ir impact	Will occur but tr	resnoio is lower than
·d·.	example, check column 1.  Identifying that an Impact will be potentially large (column 2) does	not mean that it is al	so necessarily	significant. Any
, u.	large impact must be evaluated in PART 3 to determine significar			
	be looked at further.			
æ.	If reviewer has doubt about size of the impact then consider the in			
f.	If a potentially large impact checked in column 2 can be mitigated			
	impact, also check the Yes box in column 3. A No response indic	ates that such a redu	ction is not pos	ssible. I his must be
	explained in Part 3.			
		1-	2	3
		Small to	Potential	Can Impact Be
		Moderate	Large	Mitigated by
		Impact	Impact	Project Change
	Impact on Land			
46 7	ing and the second of the seco			
1. W site?	Ill the Proposed Action result in a physical change to the project			
Site i	NO YES TELL			
	Examples that would apply to column 2  Any construction on slopes of 15% or greater, (15 foot	<b>!"</b>	m	Yes No
•	rise per 100 foot of length), or where the general slopes	LJ		П тез Пио
	in the project area exceed 10%.			
	m majour oran separation		- <u> </u>	<u> </u>
	<ul> <li>Construction on land where the depth to the water table</li> </ul>		I	Yes No
	Collection on land where the debut to the water table.			Yes No
	is less than 3 feet.	<b>11</b>		T les Tivo
	is less than 3 feet.	<b>L_1</b>		
	<ul> <li>is less than 3 feet.</li> <li>Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more</li> </ul>			Yes No
·	is less than 3 feet.			
	<ul> <li>is less than 3 feet.</li> <li>Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.</li> </ul>			Yes No
	<ul> <li>is less than 3 feet.</li> <li>Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.</li> <li>Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>is less than 3 feet.</li> <li>Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.</li> </ul>			Yes No
	<ul> <li>is less than 3 feet.</li> <li>Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.</li> <li>Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface.</li> <li>Construction that will continue for more than 1 year or</li> </ul>			Yes No
	<ul> <li>is less than 3 feet.</li> <li>Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.</li> <li>Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface.</li> </ul>			Yes No
	<ul> <li>is less than 3 feet.</li> <li>Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.</li> <li>Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface.</li> <li>Construction that will continue for more than 1 year or involve more than one phase or stage.</li> </ul>			Yes No Yes No Yes No
	<ul> <li>is less than 3 feet.</li> <li>Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles.</li> <li>Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface.</li> <li>Construction that will continue for more than 1 year or</li> </ul>			Yes No

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
	•	Construction or expansion of a santary landfill.			☐Yes ☐No
	÷	Construction in a designated floodway.			Yes No
		Other impacts:			Yes No
		The Project includes construction of a 8,500 sq. ft. second story to ar renovation of the building and adjoining parking lot.	n existing vacant c	ommercial build	ing, and the
2.		there be an effect to any unique or unusual land forms found on site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, geological formations, etc.)  NO YES			
	•	Specific land forms:			Yes No
		Impact on Water			
3.		I Proposed Action affect any water body designated as protected?  Index Articles 15, 24, 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law,			
	=0	B NO YES	•		
	Exa •	amples that would apply to column 2  Developable area of site contains a protected water body.			Yes No
	•	Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a protected stream.			Yes No
	•	Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body.			Yes No
	•	Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No
				r zandikannoù na zez zila za gunikina.	
4.	Will wat	Proposed Action affect any non-protected existing or new body of er?  NO YES			
	Exa	Amples that would apply to column 2 A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease.			Yes No
	. •	Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area.			Yes No
	. •	Other impacts:			Yes No
					A PARTICIPATION AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTICIPATION OF THE PARTICIPA

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
5.		Il Proposed Action affect surface or groundwater quality or antity?  NO YES			
	10.4				•
	Ex	amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will require a discharge permit.			Yes No
	·**	Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity.			Yes No
	٠	Construction or operation causing any contamination of a water supply system.			Yes No
	٠.	Proposed Action will adversely affect groundwater.			Yes No
	*	Liquid effluent will be conveyed off the site to facilities which presently do not exist or have inadequate capacity.			Yes No
	.*	Proposed Action would use water in excess of 20,000 gallons per day.			Yes No
		Proposed Action will likely cause siltation or other discharge into an existing body of water to the extent that there will be an obvious visual contrast to natural conditions.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action will require the storage of petroleum or chemical products greater than 1,100 gallons.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action will allow residential uses in areas without water and/or sewer services.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action locates commercial and/or industrial uses			Yes No
		which may require new or expansion of existing waste treatment and/or storage facilities.			servicinamiente vier tittimetet in titudi trikitje partity
		Other impacts:			Yes No
			en e		
					- The state of the

		Small to Moderate Impact	Potential Large Impact	Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
6.	Will Proposed Action alter drainage flow or patterns, or surface water runoff?  NO YES			
	Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action would change flood water flows			Yes No
	Proposed Action may cause substantial erosion.			Yes No
	Proposed Action is incompatible with existing drainage patterns.			Yes No
	<ul> <li>Proposed Action will allow development in a designated floodway.</li> </ul>			Yes No
	Other impacts:		- []	Yes No
	IMPACT ON AIR			
7.	Will Proposed Action affect air quality?  NO YES			
	Examples that would apply to column 2     Proposed Action will induce 1,000 or more vehicle trips in any given hour.			Yes No
	Proposed Action will result in the incineration of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.			Yes No
	<ul> <li>Emission rate of total contaminants will exceed 5 lbs, per hour or a heat source producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.</li> </ul>			Yes No
***********	Proposed Action will allow an increase in the amount of land committed to industrial use.			Yes No
	<ul> <li>Proposed Action will allow an increase in the density of industrial development within existing industrial areas.</li> </ul>	- Americanist		Yes No
	Other impacts:	<u></u>		□Yes □No
	IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS	calcocking activities of annual residence and annual residence and annual residence and annual residence and a		
3,	Will Proposed Action affect any threatened or endangered species?  NO YES			
	Reduction of one or more species listed on the New York or Federal list, using the site, over or near the site, or found on the site.			Yes No

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Miligated by Project Change
	Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habitat.			Yes No
	<ul> <li>Application of pesticide or herbicide more than twice a year, other than for agricultural purposes.</li> </ul>			Yes No
	Other impacts:			Yes No
9.	Will Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or non-endangered species?  NO YES			
	Examples that would apply to column 2     Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish, shellfish or wildlife species.			Yes No
	<ul> <li>Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation.</li> </ul>			Yes No
	Other impacts:			Yes No
10.	IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES  Will Proposed Action affect agricultural land resources?  NO YES			
	Examples that would apply to column 2 The Proposed Action would sever, cross or limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.)			Yes No
waya wa	<ul> <li>Construction activity would excavate or compact the soil profile of agricultural land.</li> </ul>			Yes No
	<ul> <li>The Proposed Action would irreversibly convert more than 10 acres of agricultural land or, if located in an Agricultural District, more than 2.5 acres of agricultural land.</li> </ul>			Yes No

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
	•	The Proposed Action would disrupt or prevent installation of agricultural land management systems (e.g., subsurface drain lines, outlet ditches, strip cropping); or create a need for such measures (e.g. cause a farm field to drain poorly due to increased runoff).			Yes No
	*	Other impacts:			Yes No
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES			
11.		Proposed Action affect aesthetic resources? (If necessary, use Visual EAF Addendum in Section 617.20, Appendix B.)  I NO YES			
	Exa •	mples that would apply to column 2 Proposed land uses, or project components obviously different from or in sharp contrast to current surrounding land use patterns, whether man-made or natural.			Yes No
	*	Proposed land uses, or project components visible to users of aesthetic resources which will eliminate or significantly reduce their enjoyment of the aesthetic qualities of that resource.			Yes No
	• :	Project components that will result in the elimination or significant screening of scenic views known to be important to the area.			Yes No
	*	Other impacts:			Yes No
بانبنين		MPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES			enteriore de la companya de la comp
12.	prel	Proposed Action impact any site or structure of historic, nistoric or paleontological importance?  NO YES			
	Exa	mples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action occurring wholly or partially within or substantially contiguous to any facility or site listed on the State or National Register of historic places.	2 2		Yes No
	•	Any impact to an archaeological site or fossil bed located within the project site.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action will occur in an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NYS Site Inventory.			Yes No

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
	Other impacts:			Yes No
	IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION			
13.	Will proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities?  NO YES			
	Examples that would apply to column 2  The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity.			Yes No
	A major reduction of an open space important to the community.			Yes No
	Other impacts:			Yes No
	IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS			
14.	Will Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR 617.14(g)?  NO YES			
	List the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of the CEA.			
:				
	Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action to locate within the CEA?			Yes No
	<ul> <li>Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource?</li> </ul>			Yes No
	<ul> <li>Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quality of the resource?</li> </ul>	111111111	Table 102	Yes No
	Proposed Action will impact the use, function or enjoyment of the resource?			Yes No
	Other impacts:			Yes No
. •				

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
15,	Wil	IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION  I there be an effect to existing transportation systems?  NO FES			
	Exa	amples that would apply to column 2 Alteration of present patterns of movement of people and/or goods.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action will result in major traffic problems.			Yes No
	٠	Other impacts:			Yes No
		The Project may result in a minor increase in traffic, which c	an be accommodated withi	n the existing	road network.
		IMPACT ON ENERGY			<u></u>
16.		Proposed Action affect the community's sources of fuel or ergy supply?		* •	
		NO TYPES			
	Exa •	amples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will cause a greater than 5% increase in the use of any form of energy in the municipality.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two family residences or to serve a major commercior industrial use.			Yes No
	•	Other impacts:			Yes No
	***************************************	The Project may result in a minor increase in energy usage.			
		NOISE AND ODOR IMPACT			
7.		I there be objectionable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of Proposed Action?	<b>(</b>		
		NO YES			
	Exa •	amples that would apply to column 2 Blasting within 1,500 feet of a hospital, school or other sensitifacility.	ve		☐Yes ☐No
	*	Odors will occur routinely (more than one hour per day).	and the second		☐Yes ☐ No
		Proposed Action will produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels for noise outside of structures.		<b></b>	Yes No
	•	Proposed Action will remove natural barriers that would act as noise screen.	s a 🔲		Yes No
		Other impacts:			□Yes □No
			The state of the s		The second secon

			1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change
		IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH			•
18.	Wil	Proposed Action affect public health and safety?  NO YES			
		Proposed Action may cause a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (i.e. oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.) in the event of accident or upset conditions, or there may be a chronic low level discharge or emission.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action may result in the burial of "hazardous wastes" in any form (i.e. toxic, poisonous, highly reactive, radioactive, irritating, infectious, etc.)			Yes No
	.#	Storage facilities for one million or more gallons of liquefied natural gas or other flammable liquids.			Yes No
	•	Proposed Action may result in the excavation or other disturbance within 2,000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	Limit		Yes No
		Other impacts:			□Yes □No
		Company and Mark Alline			
		IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD		anne a proposa de la composición de la	
19.	Will	IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER			
19.		IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD  Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community?			☐Yes ☐No
19.		IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD  Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? NO YES  Imples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%.  The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of			☐Yes ☐No
19.		IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD  Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? NO YES  Imples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%.  The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating			
19.		IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD  Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? NO YES  Imples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%.  The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project.  Proposed Action will conflict with officially adopted plans or			☐Yes ☐No
19.		IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD  Proposed Action affect the character of the existing community? NO YES  Imples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%.  The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project.  Proposed Action will conflict with officially adopted plans or goals.			☐Yes ☐No

		1 Small to Moderate Impact	2 Potential Large Impact	3 Can Impact Be Mitigated by Project Change		
•	Proposed Action will set an important precedent for future projects.			Yes No		
· •	Proposed Action will create or eliminate employment.			Yes No		
•	Other impacts:			Yes No		
		oject will expand an existing business and bring a vacant building into active use.				
	here, or is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential verse environment impacts?					
au	NO YES					

If Any Action in Part 2 is identified as a Potential Large Impact or If you Cannot Determine the Magnitude of Impact, Proceed to Part 3

#### Part 3 - EVALUATION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF IMPACTS

#### Responsibility of Lead Agency

Part 3 must be prepared if one or more impact(s) is considered to be potentially large, even if the impact(s) may be mitigated.

Instructions (If you need more space, attach additional sheets)

Discuss the following for each impact identified in Column 2 of Part 2:

- 1. Briefly describe the impact.
- 2. Describe (if applicable) how the impact could be mitigated or reduced to a small to moderate impact by project change(s).
- 3. Based on the information available, decide if it is reasonable to conclude that this impact is important.

To answer the question of importance, consider:

- I The probability of the impact occurring
- I The duration of the impact
- I Its irreversibility, including permanently lost resources of value
- I Whether the impact can or will be controlled
- I The regional consequence of the impact
- I Its potential divergence from local needs and goals
- 1 Whether known objections to the project relate to this impact.

-	No potentially large impacts were identified.	
-		
A CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDR		
- Propagation of the last of t		
The state of the s		